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## Classes Of Problems

1. $P$
2. NP
3. NP-Complete (NPC, NP-C)

## Classes Of Problems

1. P :

Consists of those problems that are solvable in polynomial time. More specifically, they are problems that can be solved in time $O\left(n^{k}\right)$ for some constant $k$, where $n$ is the size of the input to the problem. They are called easy or tractable. Problems that require superpolynomial time as being intractable, or hard.
2. NP:

Consists of those problems that are verifiable in polynomial time, it means if we were somehow given a 'certificate' of a solution, then we could verify that the certificate is correct in polynomial time in the size of the input to the problem.

## 3. NPC (NP-Complete):

Problems in NP and as hard as any problem in NP. No polynomial-time algorithm has yet been discovered for an NP-complete problem, nor has anyone yet been able to prove that no polynomial-time algorithm can exist for any one of them.

## Complexity Class P

$\rightarrow$ Deterministic in nature
$\rightarrow$ Solved by conventional computers in polynomial time
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{O}(1)$

- O( $\log \mathrm{n})$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
- $O(n \log n)$
- $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$

Constant
Sub-linear
Linear
Nearly Linear
Quadratic
k-Polynomial

- Polynomial upper and lower bounds


## Shortest vs. longest simple paths:

Even with negative edge weights, we can find shortest paths from a single source in a directed graph $G=(V, E)$ in $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{V} E)$ time. Finding a longest simple path between two vertices is difficult, however. Merely determining whether a graph contains a simple path with at least a given number of edges is NP-complete.

## Euler tour vs. hamiltonian cycle: An Euler tour of a connected, directed graph

$\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ is a cycle that traverses each edge of G exactly once, although it may visit a vertex more than once. We can determine whether a graph has an Euler tour in only O(E) time and, in fact, we can find the edges of the Euler tour in O(E) time. A hamiltonian cycle of a directed graph $G=(V, E)$ is a simple cycle that contains each vertex in $V$. Determining whether a directed graph has a hamiltonian cycle is NP-complete. determining whether an undirected graph has a hamiltonian cycle is NP-complete.

## 2-CNF satisfiability vs. 3-CNF satisfiability: A boolean formula contains variables

whose values are 0 or 1 ; boolean connectives such as $\wedge$ (AND), $\vee$ (OR), and $\neg$ (NOT); and parentheses. A boolean formula is satisfiable if there is some assignment of the values 0 and 1 to its variables that causes it to evaluate to 1. A boolean formula is in k-conjunctive normal form, or k-CNF, if it is the AND of clauses of ORs of exactly $k$ variables or their negations. For example, the boolean formula $(x 1 \vee \neg x 2) \wedge(\neg x 1 \vee x 3) \wedge(\neg x 2$ $\vee \neg x 3$ ) is in 2-CNF. (It has the satisfying assignment $x 1=1, x 2=$ $0, x 3=1$.) There is a polynomial-time algorithm to determine whether a 2-CNF formula is satisfiable, a 3-CNF formula is satisfiable is NP-complete.

## Relation among P, NP, NPC

$\Rightarrow P \subseteq N P$ (Sure)
$\Rightarrow N P C \subseteq N P$ (sure)
$\Rightarrow P=N P($ or $P \subset N P$, or $P \neq N P$ ) ???
$\Rightarrow N P C=N P($ or NPC $\subset N P$, or NPC $\neq N P)$ ???
$\Rightarrow P \neq N P$ : one of the deepest, most perplexing open research problems in (theoretical) computer science since 1971.
$\Rightarrow$ Any problem in $P$ is also in $N P$, since if a problem is in $P$ then we can solve it in polynomial time without even being given a certificate.
>Most theoretical computer scientists believe that NPC is intractable (i.e., hard, and $P \neq N P$ ).

## View of Theoretical Computer Scientists on P, NP, NPC


$P \subset N P, N P C \subset N P, P \cap N P C=\varnothing$

## Why discussion on NPC

$\Rightarrow$ If a problem is proved to be NPC, a good evidence for its intractability (hardness).
$\Rightarrow$ Not waste time on trying to find efficient algorithm for it

- Instead, focus on design approximate algorithm or a solution for a special case of the problem
- Some problems looks very easy on the surface, but in fact, is hard (NPC).


## Decision VS. Optimization Problems

- Decision problem: solving the problem by giving an answer "YES" or "NO"
- Optimization problem: solving the problem by finding the optimal solution.
- Examples:
$>$ SHORTEST-PATH (optimization)
Given $G, u, v$, find a path from $u$ to $v$ with fewest edges.
$>$ PATH (decision)
Given $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}$, and k , whether exist a path from u to v consisting of at most $k$ edges.


## Decision VS. Optimization Problems (Cont.)

$\Rightarrow$ Decision is easier (i.e., no harder) than optimization
$\Rightarrow$ If there is an algorithm for an optimization problem, the algorithm can be used to solve the corresponding decision problem.

- Example: SHORTEST-PATH for PATH
- If optimization is easy, its corresponding decision is also easy. Or in another way, if provide evidence that decision problem is hard, then the corresponding optimization problem is also hard.
$\Rightarrow$ NPC is confined to decision problem. (also applicable to optimization problem.)
$>$ Another reason is that: easy to define reduction between decision problems.


## (Poly) reduction between decision problems

- Problem (class) and problem instance
- Instance $\alpha$ of decision problem A and instance $\beta$ of decision problem $B$
$\Rightarrow$ A reduction from $A$ to $B$ is a transformation with the following properties:
$>$ The transformation takes poly time
The answer is the same (the answer for $\alpha$ is YES if and only if the answer for $\beta$ is YES).


## Implication of (poly) reduction



1. If decision algorithm for $B$ is poly, so does $A$.
$A$ is no harder than $B$ (or $B$ is no easier than $A$ )
2. If $A$ is hard (e.g., NPC), so does B.
3. How to prove a problem B to be NPC ??
(at first, prove $B$ is in NP, which is generally easy.)
3.1 find a already proved NPC problem A

Question: What is and how to prove the first NPC problem?
Circuit-satisfiability problem.

## Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP)



For each two cities, an integer cost is given to travel from one of the two cities to the other. The salesperson wants to make a minimum cost circuit visiting each city exactly once.

## Circuit-SAT



Take a Boolean circuit with a single output node and ask whether there is an assignment of values to the circuit's inputs so that the output is " 1 "

## Two instances of circuit satisfiability problems


(a)

(b)

Figure 34.8 Two instances of the circuit-satisfiability problem. (a) The assignment $\left\langle x_{1}=1\right.$, $\left.x_{2}=1, x_{3}=0\right\rangle$ to the inputs of this circuit causes the output of the circuit to be 1 . The circuit is therefore satisfiable. (b) No assignment to the inputs of this circuit can cause the output of the circuit to be 1 . The circuit is therefore unsatisfiable.

## Knapsack



Given s and w can we translate a subset of rectangles to have their bottom edges on $L$ so that the total area of the rectangles touching $L$ is at least w?

## 5-Clique
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## Vertex Cover



A graph and its complement.

Note that there is a 4-clique (consisting of vertices $a, b$, d, and f) in the graph on the left. Note also that the vertices not in this clique (namely c and e) do form a cover for the complement of this graph (which appears on the right).

## The Halting Problem

$\Rightarrow$ Given an algorithm A and an input I, will the algorithm reach a stopping place?

```
loop
    exit if (x = 1)
    if (even(x)) then
        x}\leftarrowx\operatorname{div}
    else
        x\leftarrow3*x+1
endloop
```

$\Rightarrow$ In general, we cannot solve this problem in finite time.

## Hamiltonian Path



Figure 34.2 (a) A graph representing the vertices, edges, and faces of a dodecahedron, with a hamiltonian cycle shown by shaded edges. (b) A bipartite graph with an odd number of vertices. Any such graph is nonhamiltonian.

## Euler tour vs. hamiltonian cycle: An

 Euler tour of a connected, directed graph$G=(V, E)$ is a cycle that traverses each edge of $G$ exactly once, although
it may visit a vertex more than once. We can determine whether a graph has an Euler tour in only $O(E)$ time and, in fact, we can find


Figure $\mathbf{3 4 . 1 0}$ Reducing circuit satisfiability to formula satisfiability. The formula produced by the reduction algorithm has a variable for each wire in the circuit.

## END

